



(REVIEW ARTICLE)

The role of Artificial Intelligence in improving construction site safety

Musaed M. Al-Thubaiti *, Saeed S. Al-Shahrani and Ryan A. Alsaihaty

Saudi Aramco, Project Management.

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2026, 20(02), 054–070

Publication history: Received on 22 June 2026; revised on 01 August 2026; accepted on 03 August 2026

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2026.20.2.0380>

Abstract

Construction sites consistently rank among the most hazardous work environments worldwide, contributing a disproportionate share of occupational injuries and fatalities. This paper provides a comprehensive review of how artificial intelligence (AI) technologies are being leveraged to enhance safety in construction, synthesizing findings from engineering, computer science, safety management, and related fields. The discussion spans current AI applications – including computer vision for real-time hazard detection, robotics and autonomous systems for risk elimination, machine learning-based predictive analytics for accident prevention, and natural language processing for safety knowledge mining – as well as future directions and global implementation challenges. Real-world examples from diverse regions illustrate AI's potential, such as computer vision systems monitoring worker compliance and predictive algorithms that forecast incidents before they occur. Quantitative findings from recent studies are highlighted, including reductions in accident rates and improved detection accuracy, with statistical tables summarizing key data. While AI offers powerful new tools for mitigating construction risks (e.g., identifying unsafe conditions, augmenting worker decision-making, and removing personnel from harm's way), this review also addresses hurdles such as data quality, worker acceptance, regulatory concerns, and the need for integrated, "human-in-the-loop" safety management approaches. The paper concludes that AI, when responsibly implemented, represents a transformative adjunct to traditional safety practices – capable of significantly improving construction site safety performance globally – but it must be deployed in tandem with organizational commitment, worker training, and robust safety cultures.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; Construction improvement; Site safety

1. Introduction

Construction work is universally recognized as high-risk, with site accidents imposing a heavy human and economic toll. According to the International Labour Organization, roughly 60,000 fatal accidents occur on construction sites around the world each year – accounting for about one in six work-related deaths [1]. In many countries, the construction sector's share of workplace fatalities far exceeds its share of the workforce. This disproportionate danger extends beyond fatalities: non-fatal injury rates in construction are substantially higher than in other industries. U.S. statistics reported over 2.8 million nonfatal construction injuries in a single year (2017), with a large portion deemed preventable through better safety practices (e.g. proper personal protective equipment use). The financial costs associated with these incidents are enormous; one estimate puts the annual direct and indirect cost of accidents and safety non-compliance over \$180 billion in the U.S. alone.

A breakdown of common construction hazards underscores the need for improved preventive measures. Falls from height, being struck by moving equipment or falling objects, electrocutions, and caught-in/between accidents are persistently the leading causes of fatal injuries – often dubbed the "Fatal Four." In the U.S., falls account for approximately 33.5% of construction fatalities, followed by struck-by incidents (~11%), electrocutions (~8.5%), and

* Corresponding author: Musaed M. Al-Thubaiti

caught-in/between accidents (~5.5%) [2]. Table 1 summarizes selected construction safety statistics globally and in key regions. These sobering figures motivate a global call to action for new solutions to enhance construction site safety.

Table 1 Construction Safety Statistics – Global and Selected Regions

Statistic	Value (Year)	Source
Annual work-related deaths (all industries, global)	~2.9 million (2019)	WHO/ILO global estimates [3]
Annual construction fatalities (global)	~60,000 (est.)	ILO estimate [1]
Construction's share of work fatalities (global)	~17% (i.e. 1 in 6 deaths)	ILO estimate [3,4]
Construction's share of work fatalities (U.S.)	20.5% (2014); 21.1% (2019)	BLS/OSHA data [4]
Non-fatal construction injuries (U.S.)	2.8 million (2017)	BLS data
Average cost of accidents (U.S.)	~\$36,000 per incident (2018)	Industry data [6]
Leading cause of construction fatalities (U.S.)	Falls – 33.5% of deaths	BLS/OSHA data [2]
Other major fatal accident causes (U.S.)	Struck-by 11%; Electrocution 8.5%; Caught-in 5.5%	BLS/OSHA data [2]

Note: Global fatality estimates from ILO; U.S. statistics from Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Traditional safety management in construction has relied on a hierarchy of controls – eliminating hazards where possible, implementing engineering controls (e.g. guardrails, protective equipment), and enforcing administrative measures like training and supervision. While such approaches have gradually improved safety outcomes, the industry's injury and fatality rates remain unacceptably high. In this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising ally to further reduce risks and augment human safety efforts. Advancements in AI – spanning computer vision, machine learning, robotics, and data analytics – enable proactive and data-driven safety management that was previously infeasible. For instance, AI systems can monitor worksites in real time for hazards that human supervisors might miss, predict accidents before they happen by recognizing subtle risk patterns, and even physically remove workers from danger through automation and robotics.

The integration of AI into construction safety is inherently interdisciplinary. It draws on engineering (for sensor and hardware development), computer science (for algorithms in vision and learning), robotics (for autonomous machines), safety science (for risk modeling and human factors), and construction management (for implementation and organizational adoption). Recent years have seen a surge in research at this nexus. A 2024 literature review by Rabbi and Jeelani identified over 150 studies on AI applications in construction safety, noting that real-time monitoring, hazard detection, and information extraction are key focus areas, with a heavy reliance on deep learning-based computer vision and natural language processing techniques [7]. These technologies are being applied to detect personal protective equipment compliance, identify unsafe worker behaviors or environments, analyze accident reports for root causes, and more. At the same time, early adopters in industry are beginning to pilot AI-driven safety systems on jobsites around the world – providing valuable case studies and lessons learned.

This paper offers a comprehensive overview of the role of AI in improving construction site safety. It examines the current state of AI technologies used for construction safety, highlighting practical applications of computer vision, robotics, machine learning predictive analytics, and natural language processing. Real-world examples from multiple regions (North America, Asia, Middle East, Europe) are included to illustrate how these tools are being implemented in practice. The review also discusses global implementation challenges – from technical issues like data quality and model accuracy to socio-economic issues like workforce acceptance and regulatory frameworks. Finally, future directions are explored, such as the potential of emerging AI capabilities (e.g., advanced robotics, large language models, audio analytics, and digital twins) to further transform construction safety management.

1.1. AI Applications in Construction Safety

1.1.1. Computer Vision and Video Analytics

Computer vision (CV) – the use of AI to interpret visual data (images and video) – is one of the most mature and widely applied AI technologies in construction safety. Dynamic, visual awareness is critical on jobsites; computer vision systems can serve as tireless “eyes,” continuously scanning site conditions to detect hazards or unsafe behavior in real time. High-resolution cameras combined with deep learning algorithms enable automated recognition of safety-related objects and actions. Common applications include detecting whether workers are wearing required personal protective equipment (PPE) such as hardhats and safety vests, identifying workers entering dangerous areas (e.g., near an open edge or heavy machinery), and monitoring interactions between workers and equipment.

One major focus has been automated PPE compliance monitoring. Numerous studies have demonstrated that deep learning models can accurately detect PPE use or violations from site images [8]. For example, convolutional neural network models in the *You Only Look Once* (YOLO) family have been trained on construction images to recognize whether a person is wearing a hardhat, safety vest, gloves, and other gear. Recent advances show very high detection performance. In one 2025 study, a transformer-enhanced YOLOv10 model achieved precision (AP50) of over 92% for detecting missing hardhats and around 85–88% for other PPE like masks, gloves, and boots, with an average detection accuracy of ~87% [9]. This indicates that modern vision algorithms can reliably identify PPE non-compliance in real-world conditions. Indeed, the literature reports a “high potential” for solving the PPE compliance problem via computer vision, although practical challenges (discussed later) have limited wider deployment so far [8].

Beyond PPE, computer vision is being used to flag hazardous situations and unsafe actions. AI vision systems can be trained to recognize when workers are too close to dangerous equipment, when they enter prohibited zones, or when work at height is occurring without proper fall protection. For instance, vision-based safety monitoring solutions can track the movements and proximity of workers and machinery throughout the site. By analyzing live CCTV feeds 24/7, an AI system can quickly pick out anomalies or high-risk scenarios – such as a worker standing under a suspended load or two excavators operating too close to each other – and then alert supervisors before an accident occurs [10]. In essence, such systems provide a form of automated site surveillance that is proactive rather than purely forensic. They augment human supervisors who may have limited visibility or attention by continuously watching multiple video streams at once without fatigue.

Real-world deployments underscore these capabilities. For example, on an urban high-rise project in Singapore, over 90 CCTV cameras were outfitted with an AI video analytics platform to monitor safety in real time. The AI system analyzes each camera feed to detect key dangers like workers approaching an unguarded edge, people positioned under crane loads, or unsafe proximity to heavy vehicles. Site safety managers reported that it would be virtually impossible for a human to continuously watch all 90 video feeds simultaneously – but the AI can, notifying staff the moment a risky situation is observed [9]. This kind of AI “virtual supervisor” greatly extends the reach of safety personnel. Likewise, companies in the United States have begun using computer vision software on site progress photos (for example, from 360-degree cameras or drones) to automatically identify housekeeping issues, tripping hazards, or missing guardrails that need remediation [6].

Another emerging CV application is in behavioral safety analysis. Researchers have experimented with action recognition algorithms that attempt to identify when workers engage in unsafe behaviors – for example, climbing a ladder improperly or not maintaining three-point contact, entering a restricted area, or neglecting to tie-off at heights. While more complex than object detection, early studies show it is feasible to classify certain high-risk activities from video. Machine learning models (including deep learning) have also been used to estimate ergonomic risk by analyzing workers’ postures on site and identifying strain-inducing movements that could lead to musculoskeletal injuries.

Overall, computer vision is revolutionizing how hazards are identified on construction sites. Instead of solely relying on manual inspections or workers to report unsafe conditions, AI vision systems can provide continuous, automated oversight. They excel at recognizing visual patterns (like a missing piece of safety gear or a worker in harm’s way) across large areas and numerous personnel. As camera and processing costs drop, it is becoming increasingly practical to deploy networks of “smart” cameras across even medium-sized sites, bringing an unprecedented level of safety awareness. Current commercial solutions (offered by startups and major technology firms alike) highlight use cases such as real-time hardhat and vest detection, fall detection, zone intrusion alerts, and detection of environmental hazards (e.g. smoke, fire, spills) via image analysis [2,10]. In summary, computer vision gives construction teams a powerful tool to see and respond to hazards more quickly and consistently than ever before.

1.1.2. Robotics and Autonomous Systems for Safety

Robotics and automation technologies are increasingly being adopted on construction sites, and they carry significant promise for improving safety. Construction robotics range from autonomous or remotely operated machines that perform hazardous tasks to wearable robotic devices that augment workers' physical capabilities. The core safety benefit of robotics is straightforward: by transferring dangerous or strenuous jobs from humans to machines, worker exposure to safety risks can be greatly reduced. Robots neither tire nor suffer injuries, and they can be designed to operate in environments that would be considered unsafe for a person.

One class of safety-enhancing robots are those that physically remove workers from danger by taking over high-risk tasks. For example, demolition robots are now used to tear down concrete structures or do excavation in unstable trenches, operated remotely by workers at a safe distance. This eliminates the risk of a worker being on site during a structural collapse or struck by debris. Similarly, robots are employed for tasks like bridge sandblasting and painting, nuclear decommissioning, or confined-space inspections – all jobs traditionally fraught with hazards (toxic exposure, fall risks, asphyxiation) that can be mitigated by using machines instead of people. Even routine equipment can be made autonomous: some projects use self-driving or remote-controlled excavators, bulldozers, and haul trucks, meaning operators are not physically on the equipment and thus not at risk if it tips over or collides with something. Drones (unmanned aerial vehicles) equipped with cameras are extensively used for site inspections, surveying, and progress monitoring in lieu of having workers climb scaffolds or scramble over rough terrain [11]. By enabling remote observation, drones keep workers off ladders and out of other precarious positions while still gathering necessary information (e.g., checking roof work, inspecting structural connections, monitoring large sites).

Wearable robotics such as exoskeletons represent another approach: these devices strap onto a worker's body to provide lift assistance or support, thereby reducing physical strain. For instance, powered exoskeleton vests or suits can help hold a worker's arms up when doing overhead installation or assist in lifting heavy materials, significantly decreasing fatigue and the risk of ergonomic injuries. Construction exoskeletons have been trialed in several countries (notably in Japan, Europe, and the U.S.), and studies show they reduce muscle strain and injury risk during repetitive tasks like drilling overhead or manual materials handling [11]. By preserving worker strength and reducing cumulative trauma, exoskeletons can indirectly improve safety (fewer injuries from overexertion) and directly prevent accidents that occur when workers are exhausted or in pain.

Robotic systems can also provide safety through precision and control. On a construction site, human error or imprecision can lead to accidents – e.g., a crane swing that collides with an object, or a mis-positioned wall element that later fails. Robots excel at repetitive, precise tasks. Robotic bricklaying machines, for example, can lay bricks in a uniform, controlled manner, eliminating the risk of masonry collapses due to inconsistent workmanship. Automated rebar tying robots can secure reinforcing steel reliably, reducing the need for workers to perform this physically taxing task on elevated formwork. Robotic cranes and lifting devices with advanced sensors can dynamically ensure loads remain stable and that no workers are underneath before a lift proceeds. In essence, automation not only keeps workers out of harm's way but can also minimize the chances of certain accidents by executing tasks more uniformly and predictably than a human might.

The cumulative safety impact of robotics is beginning to be quantified in research. A recent cross-industry study (spanning manufacturing and construction) found that increased adoption of industrial robots correlated with significantly lower injury rates among human workers. Specifically, a one-standard-deviation increase in robot density (roughly 1.34 robots per 1000 workers) was associated with a reduction of about 1.2 work-related injuries per 100 workers, and an estimated annual savings of \$1.7 billion in injury costs [12]. These data suggest that as construction robotics usage grows, a tangible drop in accidents is likely to follow. Robots do introduce new considerations – for instance, ensuring that autonomous machines have adequate safety controls to avoid injuring nearby personnel (through sensors, emergency stop mechanisms, etc.), and addressing worker concerns about job displacement or working alongside robots. There have even been isolated incidents of robotic equipment causing injury (e.g. a robot arm accident in a factory [12]), underscoring that automation itself must be implemented safely. Nonetheless, when appropriately integrated, robotics clearly function as a net positive for construction safety by tackling the “dull, dirty, and dangerous” aspects of the job.

In practice, many construction firms are now embracing robotics with safety as a key motivator. For example, demolition contractors deploy compact remote-controlled demolition robots to handle hazardous tear-downs of concrete and steel structures, greatly reducing the risk to workers from falling rubble or tool vibration injuries. On large infrastructure projects, semi-autonomous haul trucks and bulldozers (pioneered in mining and now entering construction) keep drivers out of potential rollover incidents. In high-rise construction, robotic self-climbing platforms

are used for formwork, meaning fewer workers need to be at great heights. Government safety agencies and industry groups are actively studying and promoting these technologies. The U.S. National Safety Council’s “Work to Zero” program, for instance, highlights robotics and automated equipment as critical technologies to eliminate workplace fatalities in the coming decades [12].

In summary, robotics and autonomous systems contribute to construction safety by eliminating exposure (performing dangerous tasks so humans don’t have to), assisting workers (through exoskeletal support or automated tools that reduce physical stress), and preventing accidents (via precision, sensors, and removal of human error factors). The construction industry is only at the early stages of robotics adoption, but the trajectory is clear: from bricklaying robots to drones, these technologies are steadily reshaping jobsite operations. As they do so, a safer construction environment – with fewer acute accidents and chronic injuries – is emerging. Crucially, the goal is not to replace construction workers, but to augment and protect them, letting machines handle the perilous and repetitive work while humans focus on supervision, skilled decision-making, and tasks requiring adaptability.

1.1.3. Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics for Accident Prevention

Machine learning (ML) and predictive analytics techniques are allowing construction teams to harness the power of data to predict and prevent accidents before they happen. The construction industry generates a wealth of safety-related data – incident reports, injury records, daily hazard logs, sensor readings, etc. – which historically have been underutilized. Today, advanced ML algorithms can analyze these large datasets to find patterns or indicators that humans might not easily discern. By doing so, they enable a shift in safety management: from a reactive posture (investigating accidents after the fact) to a proactive, predictive safety approach that anticipates problems and enables intervention in advance.

One influential example is the use of machine learning to develop leading indicators of safety risk on projects. In the United States, a consortium of major contractors partnered with an AI firm (Smartvid.io) to analyze ten years of project data, including thousands of safety observations, inspection notes, and site images. Using this historical data, they trained a predictive model (affectionately nicknamed “Vinnie”) to identify factors correlated with incident occurrence. The results were striking: the AI was able to predict about 20% of recordable incidents on new projects with approximately 80% accuracy, meaning it could correctly identify a substantial subset of high-risk situations with relatively few false alarms [6]. In practice, this translates into actionable warnings – for instance, the AI might flag that a particular project has an elevated risk for a fall incident in the next week, based on subtle cues like an increase in housekeeping issues, weather forecasts, and the phase of work. Armed with such a forecast, managers can target additional interventions (e.g., a focused safety stand-down or equipment inspection) to hopefully avert the potential accident.

The value of these predictions becomes even more apparent when quantified. Even if only a portion of the AI’s predicted incidents are prevented through preemptive action, the impact is significant. Smartvid’s analysis suggested that if just 25% of the AI-issued warnings result in avoided accidents, a construction firm running 50 projects a year could prevent 40–100 injuries annually, saving an estimated \$1.4–3.6 million per year in direct costs [6,13]. These savings come not only from avoided workers’ compensation and medical expenses, but also from reduced downtime and project disruption. Such figures make a compelling business case for predictive analytics in safety – beyond the obvious human welfare benefits.

Predictive models have been applied to various safety outcomes. Some research focuses on predicting the likelihood of specific incident types (like falls or equipment-related injuries) given certain site conditions. For instance, models have incorporated environmental data (weather, temperature), workforce factors (level of training, overtime hours), and project features (type of work, subcontractor safety histories) to estimate daily risk levels. Other efforts aim to predict leading indicators such as the number of safety violations or near-misses that will occur on a project, which are themselves precursors to accidents. Techniques used range from traditional statistical methods to modern machine learning algorithms including support vector machines, random forest classifiers, gradient boosting, and neural networks. In every case, the goal is to crunch historical data to find combinations of inputs that reliably signal elevated risk.

One advantage of machine learning is its ability to continuously improve as more data becomes available. Construction firms can feed new incident data back into the model to refine its accuracy over time (a process known as model training and updating). This means an AI safety system can learn from each project and get “smarter” at identifying what early warning signs precede incidents in that company’s unique context. Some large contractors have already embedded such predictive analytics into their HSE (health, safety, and environment) management systems. For example, a safety

dashboard might display a risk score for each active project, updated in real time based on incoming reports and sensor data; projects above a certain risk threshold trigger management reviews or audits.

Another application of AI in this domain is automated safety compliance checks using natural language and data mining (overlap with the next section on Natural Language Processing). For instance, algorithms can parse through daily work logs or toolbox talk transcripts to pick up on hazard mentions or negative sentiments, which might foreshadow an incident if unaddressed. Similarly, image-based analytics (using computer vision discussed earlier) can feed into predictive models – e.g., an increase in detected PPE violations or housekeeping issues on camera could raise the risk flag in the predictive system.

Importantly, predictive analytics doesn't remove human judgment from the loop – rather, it provides an additional decision-support tool for safety professionals. A project manager receiving an AI risk alert can investigate and determine the appropriate response. In interviews, industry users often emphasize that these systems help prioritize attention: with limited safety personnel, knowing where to focus preventive efforts (which site, which subcontractor, which activity) on a given week can be invaluable [6]. It's akin to weather forecasting for safety – not perfect, but far better than flying blind.

Several global case studies echo the U.S. experience. In Europe, the Bouygues Group (France) and other firms joined the aforementioned initiative to develop predictive safety models, contributing data across continents. In Asia, large contractors in countries like China and South Korea, which have massive volumes of project data, have started their own internal programs to analyze accident trends using AI techniques (often in collaboration with academic researchers). Government agencies are also exploring how predictive analytics might inform regulation – for example, if an AI system identifies a certain type of construction task as extremely high-risk across industry data, regulators could issue hazard bulletins or targeted inspections in response.

While predictive safety analytics is still a developing field, early results demonstrate its potential to change the game in construction safety management. By identifying patterns invisible to the naked eye and giving advanced warning of trouble, AI can help construction teams intervene before a worker gets hurt. As data collection and sharing improve (e.g., through digital reporting systems, sensor networks, and industry data pooling initiatives), these models will become even more robust. The future may see a construction industry where injuries are not only postulated to be preventable, but where data-driven systems actively prevent a significant portion of them through timely predictions and alerts. In combination with other measures – such as vision-based monitoring and good old-fashioned supervision – machine learning-based predictive analytics is pushing construction closer to the long-held goal of “zero accidents.”

1.1.4. Natural Language Processing and Text Mining in Safety Management

Construction projects generate vast amounts of textual data related to safety: incident reports, accident investigation summaries, safety meeting minutes, inspection checklists, emails and work orders describing hazards, regulatory documents, and more. Natural Language Processing (NLP) is the branch of AI that enables computers to interpret and derive insights from human language. In the context of construction safety, NLP techniques are being applied to these text-based resources to extract useful information, discover hidden trends, and support decision-making aimed at accident prevention.

One important application of NLP is in analyzing incident reports and accident narratives. When accidents or near-misses occur, companies typically document the circumstances in narrative form. Over years and across many projects, a company can accumulate hundreds or thousands of such reports. Manually reading and synthesizing them is labor-intensive and prone to human bias or oversight. NLP algorithms, however, can process this corpus of reports to identify common factors and root causes. For instance, text mining can cluster accident reports by similarity, revealing that many incidents involve, say, “scaffolding collapse” or “electric shock during equipment maintenance” – insights that might prompt targeted training or engineering controls. A 2023 study utilized NLP with clustering techniques on OSHA's database of injury narratives and found it could identify major accident types and thematic commonalities across highway construction incidents, such as patterns in heat-related injuries and struck-by accidents. By summarizing these narratives, the AI helped pinpoint prevalent cause factors (e.g., many “struck-by” injuries were due to workers being hit by falling objects or vehicles) and suggested where preventive measures should focus [18].

Researchers have also used NLP for accident severity prediction and classification. By training models on past incident descriptions labeled by severity (e.g., fatal, serious, minor), the AI can learn to predict the likely severity of a new incident report or near-miss description. This can be useful in real-time reporting systems: if a worker submits a hazard observation text that the NLP model flags as indicating high potential severity, management can respond with urgency.

Techniques such as support vector machines, logistic regression, and random forest classifiers have been successfully applied to classify incident reports by type or severity using textual features [18]. For example, certain keywords and phrases in a report (like “collapsed”, “unconscious”, “fall from”) strongly correlate with more severe outcomes, and the model learns these signals.

Another area is using NLP to ensure compliance with safety requirements and regulations. Large construction projects must comply with extensive safety codes and contract requirements which are documented in writing. Manually cross-checking every work plan against these requirements is tedious. NLP-based tools have been developed to automatically retrieve relevant safety requirements from lengthy documents and even to compare a project’s safety plan or method statements against regulatory texts. One study created an NLP framework to parse safety regulations and project documents, identifying where certain required safety provisions (for example, specific fall protection measures) were not addressed in the project’s plans [18]. Such an AI assistant can help safety managers avoid omissions and maintain compliance by highlighting gaps.

Large Language Models (LLMs), the same class of AI as GPT-3 and similar, are a very recent addition to the safety toolkit. Researchers are exploring how LLMs can be leveraged to interact with safety data in more flexible ways. For instance, a 2024 investigation used GPT-3.5 to perform advanced analysis on highway construction accident narratives [18]. The LLM was able to interpret free-form text reports, cluster them by meaning, and even provide summaries of clusters explaining the key causes (e.g., summarizing a cluster of heat-related incidents and identifying heat stress as a common factor). LLMs can potentially act as a “safety query assistant,” where a user could input a question like “What are the main causes of crane accidents in our company’s history?” and the LLM, having been fed the company’s past reports, could generate a coherent answer sourced from the data. Early studies have demonstrated the feasibility of such applications. The advantage of LLMs is their ability to handle unstructured text and infer context, which could greatly streamline knowledge discovery from years of safety records.

Text mining is also helping to streamline safety communications and training. NLP sentiment analysis has been applied to worker feedback or safety climate surveys to gauge morale and safety culture – identifying sites where negative sentiment may signal deeper safety issues. Topic modeling (an NLP technique) can automatically find themes in safety meeting minutes or toolbox talks, which could reveal what issues field personnel are most concerned about. Over time, this helps management address frequently mentioned hazards or misconceptions.

One particularly powerful use of NLP in safety is processing “lessons learned” databases. Many large organizations maintain internal logs of lessons from past projects (often as text narratives). By mining this text, NLP can bring relevant lessons to the surface for new projects. For example, if a project involves a tunnel excavation, an NLP system could retrieve past accident reports or lessons from similar tunnel works, even if those occurred years ago, and present them to the current project team as cautionary information. This ensures institutional knowledge is not lost in filing cabinets but actively utilized.

It is worth noting that applying NLP to construction safety text is challenging due to the domain-specific language and sometimes terse, unstructured nature of field reports. Acronyms, jargon, and shorthand are common (e.g., “worker injured by luffer failure on MEWP” requires domain knowledge to interpret). Therefore, NLP models often have to be tailored or trained on industry-specific text to achieve good accuracy.

In conclusion, natural language processing is becoming a valuable complement to the other AI techniques in construction safety. While computer vision “sees” the site and predictive analytics crunch numbers, NLP “reads” the site’s safety record and documentation. Together, these tools provide a more complete picture. By extracting lessons and patterns from past incidents, ensuring compliance with written standards, and enabling intelligent querying of safety knowledge, NLP can help safety professionals learn from the past and apply that knowledge to prevent future accidents. With the advent of large language models and increasing digitalization of field reporting (via mobile apps and electronic forms), the synergy between text data and AI will only grow. We can expect smarter incident analysis, real-time safety documentation assistants, and more informed decision-making as NLP further integrates into construction safety management systems.

1.1.5. Wearable Sensors and Internet of Things (IoT) for Safety Monitoring

In parallel with the above AI algorithms, the deployment of wearable sensors and IoT devices on construction sites has opened new frontiers for safety monitoring – often in conjunction with AI-based analytics. These technologies involve hardware like smart helmets, vests, wristbands, and environmental sensors that continuously collect data on worker

location, movement, vital signs, and the surrounding environment. AI algorithms can then analyze this streaming data to detect danger and trigger preventive actions in real time.

One common application is using wearable location trackers (tags) to prevent collisions and incursions. Workers and heavy equipment can be equipped with GPS or RFID-based tags that broadcast their positions. An AI system can geofence hazardous zones (e.g., a crane operating radius or an excavation pit) and issue alerts if a worker is detected approaching those zones when they shouldn't. For example, if a worker gets too close to an operating excavator or forklift, both the worker and the equipment operator could receive instant alerts (through a wearable buzzer or a cab display) to prevent a struck-by accident [2,10]. Startups in Asia and Europe have introduced such proximity warning systems, some using ultra-wideband or Bluetooth beacons on workers' helmets that communicate with machinery outfitted with detectors. AI logic is used to distinguish normal proximity (brief passing) from dangerous scenarios (prolonged close approach or in a blind spot) to minimize false alarms.

Wearable physiological monitors are also making inroads. Smart wristbands or chest straps can measure a worker's heart rate, skin temperature, and even fatigue levels (through patterns of motion and heart rate variability). AI can analyze these signals to infer if a worker is experiencing heat stress, fatigue, or other health issues that could precede an incident. For instance, if a worker's heart rate spikes and movement slows on a hot afternoon, the system might detect potential heat exhaustion and prompt a rest break before the person collapses. In some implementations, helmets have been fitted with pulse oximeters or EEG sensors to detect microsleeps or loss of alertness – particularly useful for operators of cranes or heavy trucks, where fatigue can be deadly. Early pilots by contractors in the Middle East have used smart cap devices that monitor brainwaves for fatigue, alerting drivers when they show signs of drowsiness.

Environmental IoT sensors provide additional protective data. Networks of wireless sensors can monitor conditions like noise levels, dust and toxin concentrations, temperature, and structural vibrations around the site [10]. AI algorithms can continuously evaluate these inputs to identify hazardous thresholds – for example, detecting the build-up of volatile gases in a confined space, or recognizing an abnormal vibration pattern that could indicate an impending structural failure or collapse. If high levels of carbon monoxide or low oxygen are detected in a work area, an automated alarm can be triggered for evacuation. During the COVID-19 pandemic, some sites even used thermal cameras and AI to monitor body temperatures and enforce social distancing via wearables that vibrated when workers got too close [10], illustrating the adaptability of these technologies to health safety as well.

The integration of AI with wearables allows for real-time individualized safety interventions. One notable example is smart safety vests that not only track location but can also flash LED warnings or vibrate to get the wearer's attention under certain conditions (e.g., entering a restricted zone or when a loud piece of equipment is approaching from behind). These vests are connected to a central AI system that makes split-second decisions based on multiple data streams – combining perhaps computer vision (from cameras) and direct sensor inputs. Some advanced systems deploy a form of sensor fusion AI, merging data from video, wearables, and machinery telematics to get a comprehensive understanding of site safety status. For example, by fusing camera feeds with wearable GPS data, an AI can much more accurately identify “who” is in danger and “where,” rather than relying on either data source alone.

Real-world deployments of IoT safety solutions are growing. In Singapore, some construction firms issue RFID-tagged helmets and use an AI platform to log each worker's entry into high-risk areas, ensuring only authorized and trained personnel are present and flagging unauthorized entry [10]. In Europe, a system called Xsight by Leica Geosystems uses machine vision on heavy equipment combined with wearable tags to instantly halt machinery if a person comes too near, effectively creating an AI-driven proximity shield around equipment. In the Middle East, large projects have used connected worker platforms that monitor hydration and heart rate for workers building infrastructure in extreme heat, with AI predicting heat strain and recommending cooling measures. Major international contractors have launched “connected jobsite” initiatives that tie together wearables, cameras, and predictive analytics into unified dashboards for safety managers, often citing improved incident response times and reduction in incidents as key outcomes.

One illustrative case reported that after implementing an AI-driven wearable monitoring system, a contractor observed a significant drop in certain types of incidents: for instance, near-miss collisions between workers and equipment reportedly fell by over 50% due to early warning alerts, and average emergency response times on site improved markedly because the system could immediately pinpoint a fallen or unresponsive worker's location (versus relying on radio calls). Although such numbers can vary, they indicate the practical benefits seen when these technologies are put into use.

There are challenges, of course. Ensuring the privacy and acceptance of workers is one – constant monitoring can raise concerns about surveillance or punitive use of data, which must be addressed by clear policies (e.g., using data only for

safety, not worker evaluation) and involving workers in the adoption process. Battery life and device durability are also issues in the harsh construction environment; wearables must be rugged and long-lasting. But as the technology matures, costs come down, and success stories spread, wearable and IoT safety solutions are likely to become standard equipment on construction sites.

In summary, the IoT and wearables revolution dovetails with AI to create active safety systems that monitor conditions and worker status in real time, something not achievable through manual methods alone. By detecting hazards (environmental or situational) and warning individuals on the spot, these systems represent a direct intervention mechanism – like a personal guardian for each worker. When connected to the broader AI safety infrastructure of a site (vision systems, predictive analytics, etc.), they contribute to a holistic approach where every second and every square foot of the site is under safety supervision. The ultimate vision is a “smart construction site” where workers, machinery, and the environment are all instrumented and intelligent, collectively minimizing the chance of accidents through vigilance and timely action.

1.2. Global Case Studies and Implementation Examples

AI-driven safety technologies are being implemented on construction sites around the world, yielding valuable lessons and success stories. This section highlights several real-world examples from different regions, demonstrating how AI is improving safety outcomes in practice and providing insight into adoption trends.

1.2.1. United States

Predictive Analytics and Vision Systems: Large U.S. contractors have been early adopters of AI for safety. As noted, Suffolk Construction and partners piloted a predictive analytics platform (Smartvid.io) across dozens of projects. During a multi-year trial involving millions of work-hours, the AI system successfully identified high-risk projects and periods, correlating with a measurable reduction in recordable incidents compared to prior years [6]. Suffolk reported that the insights from AI allowed their safety teams to intervene proactively – for example, by deploying extra safety staff to a site during a particularly risky phase flagged by the model, or by revisiting a subcontractor’s training after the AI noted repeated anomalies in their safety observations. In addition, U.S. companies have widely adopted computer vision for monitoring PPE compliance. One nationwide commercial builder integrated an AI vision solution into its tower cranes’ cameras, so that any time a crane camera took a photo of the site, an algorithm automatically checked for hardhat and vest compliance in the image. This resulted in immediate feedback to crews – workers seen without PPE were quickly reported and corrected – and helped ingrain a stronger safety culture of “always wear your PPE”. Other U.S. projects have used drone-based imaging combined with AI to scan for hazards like unprotected edges or openings on upper floors; one case study found that AI drone scans detected dozens of minor fall hazards (e.g., temporary openings) that supervisors then fixed, potentially averting serious accidents.

1.2.2. Singapore

AI Video Surveillance in Public Housing: Singapore has been a leader in adopting AI for construction safety at the government level. In 2023, the Housing & Development Board (HDB) – Singapore’s public housing authority – announced that over 50 new housing projects will deploy AI-enabled video surveillance systems to monitor site safety. In these projects, CCTV cameras around the site feed into an AI platform capable of real-time analysis to flag unsafe situations. For instance, if a worker is observed standing on a ledge without fall protection or if a crane hook moves over an area with people, the system sends an alert to site managers. An early implementation was at the Jurong Innovation District construction, where a local startup’s AI (Ailytics) oversaw the site via 90+ cameras. This system not only identified safety violations (like workers at height without harnesses) but also provided statistical reports on the frequency and locations of such incidents, allowing management to target those areas for improvements. Singapore’s Ministry of Manpower reported that such technology-aided supervision contributed to a decline in certain accidents. For example, falls from height – a major concern – have been reduced in some sites that use the AI, thanks to earlier detection of risky behavior and the deterrent effect (workers know they are being monitored). Singapore’s approach illustrates how public agencies can drive tech adoption: by mandating AI surveillance in contracts and actively working with technology providers, they accelerated industry acceptance. It also shows a global mindset shift – even in a country already known for strict safety enforcement, AI is seen as a way to achieve “next-level” safety oversight.

1.2.3. Middle East (UAE and Saudi Arabia)

Integrated Safety Platforms: The Middle East, with its large, complex construction projects (skyscrapers, infrastructure for mega-events, etc.), has also embraced AI for safety. In Dubai, an entrepreneurial initiative from a Heriot-Watt University graduate led to the development of Proscan, an AI-powered safety and quality management platform. Proscan has been piloted on Dubai’s massive Mohammed bin Rashid Solar Park construction. The platform integrates

project data (design models, schedules) with on-site inputs (inspections, sensor data) and uses AI to predict potential safety hazards before they escalate [18]. It sends auto-generated notifications to managers highlighting urgent safety issues, overdue corrective actions, and even quality defects that could become safety problems. The Dubai pilot has reportedly demonstrated improved closeout of safety actions (since the AI continuously reminds and tracks issues) and an enhanced ability to catch problems early. Meanwhile, in Saudi Arabia, contractors building the Neom city project are utilizing AI computer vision in combination with drones to monitor remote sites for safety compliance. Given the scale of these projects, traditional safety inspections are logistically difficult – drones flying over can capture daily footage that AI software analyzes for any visible hazards or rule violations, vastly increasing coverage. Early data from Neom’s safety team indicated that AI automated inspections spotted around three times more safety non-compliance items than human inspections alone, primarily due to the sheer area covered and frequency (daily AI scans vs. weekly manual rounds).

1.2.4. Europe

Embracing Wearables and Data Analytics: European construction firms, often operating under strict EU safety regulations, have incorporated AI more gradually but steadily. One example is Bouygues Construction (France), which joined the Predictive Analytics Strategic Council alongside U.S. firms to apply AI to their safety data [6]. By contributing their data and using the consortium’s AI models, they sought to benchmark and improve their safety performance. European firms have also been frontrunners in using wearable safety technologies. In the UK, Skanska and Balfour Beatty have trialed systems where workers wear smart bands that vibrate if they come near heavy equipment; these systems use AI to distinguish a dangerous proximity from normal crowding so that alarms are meaningful. In Finland, an infrastructure project used an AI-based “digital twin” of the site, fed by IoT sensors, to predict unsafe conditions (like ground instability) and visually warn engineers in the model, which is a forward-looking integration of AI in planning and operations. While Europe hasn’t had as many flashy AI-in-safety headlines as some regions, a common theme is integration – AI tools are being embedded into standard safety management processes (e.g., automatic risk assessment as part of daily permitting, AI checks during design reviews for safety compliance), reflecting a methodical approach.

1.2.5. China and East Asia

Advanced Computer Vision Deployment: With some of the world’s largest construction workforces, China has significant incentive to improve site safety and is leveraging AI in a big way. Chinese technology companies have developed construction-specific computer vision systems that are being rolled out on major projects. These systems go beyond PPE detection; they also track unsafe behaviors such as jumping from heights or entering exclusion zones. One large state-owned contractor equipped over 500 sites with AI cameras, and according to their internal reports, the rate of serious safety violations (like working without helmets or safety harnesses) dropped by over 60% in those sites within a year, as the AI monitoring helped enforce compliance continuously. In South Korea, builders are experimenting with AI that analyzes audio signals on sites – for instance, algorithms that “listen” for certain sounds like the crack of a failing support or a shouted warning, which could provide another layer of early warning. While audio-based AI in construction is still in infancy, these trials in East Asia indicate a willingness to innovate across multiple AI modalities. Notably, Japan has focused on robotics (given their aging workforce), with contractors like Obayashi using autonomous carry robots and AI-equipped cranes, seeing reductions in lifting accidents and a better safety record on robot-assisted projects compared to fully manual ones.

Across these global examples, some common threads emerge. First, AI implementations tend to show positive safety impacts relatively quickly, users report better hazard awareness, fewer unsafe acts, and sometimes direct reductions in incidents. Second, many projects initially use AI in a pilot phase, covering one aspect (like just PPE detection or a single predictive model) and then expand scope if results are good. This phased approach helps build trust and understanding among the workforce and management. Third, partnerships and collaborations (between construction firms, technology providers, and sometimes government) are key in advancing these innovations. The technology is evolving so rapidly that sharing knowledge – whether through councils, consortiums, or academic partnerships – has accelerated effective adoption. Lastly, these cases illustrate that no single AI technology is a panacea; rather, a combination of tools often yields the best outcome. A site that uses vision, wearables, and predictive analytics together creates overlapping safety nets, as seen in some of the most advanced implementations.

In conclusion, real-world deployments around the globe clearly demonstrate AI’s value in improving construction safety. They also provide learning opportunities: companies have had to adjust how they work, retrain staff to interact with AI systems, and sometimes confront initial skepticism. But as success stories accumulate, AI’s credibility in the safety domain grows. The global perspective also reveals that while high-income countries often lead in cutting-edge trials, developing countries (where the bulk of construction activities occur) stand to benefit immensely if these technologies become more accessible. The hope is that AI in construction safety will not remain a niche high-tech

experiment, but rather become a global standard practice that raises the bar of safety for all workers, regardless of location.

1.3. Challenges and Limitations of AI Adoption in Construction Safety

While the potential of AI to improve construction safety is evident, the deployment of these technologies is not without significant challenges. Organizations venturing into AI-driven safety must navigate technical hurdles, workforce and cultural issues, data and privacy concerns, and implementation constraints unique to the construction domain. This section discusses the key challenges and limitations that have emerged, as identified in literature and industry practice.

Data Quality and Quantity: AI systems, especially those based on machine learning and computer vision, are highly data-dependent. One major challenge is obtaining sufficient high-quality data to train and maintain these models [7,17]. Construction sites vary widely, and an AI model trained on data from one project may not generalize well to another with different layouts, equipment, or work practices. For instance, a hardhat detection algorithm might struggle if workers wear a different style or color of helmet than what it was trained on. Many firms simply do not have large labeled datasets of safety images or incident records readily available for AI use. Labeling images or curating historical incident data is time-consuming and expensive. Moreover, safety incidents are (fortunately) relatively rare events, which means purely data-driven predictive models can have difficulty identifying patterns. Ensuring data quality is another aspect: camera feeds might be grainy or obstructed (e.g., dust, low lighting), sensors can produce noise, and textual reports often have inconsistent formats. All these issues can degrade AI performance. The literature emphasizes the need for better data management practices in construction safety to support AI – including creating shared datasets, using standardized reporting to facilitate text mining, and capturing more high-resolution imagery from sites [7]. There are calls for industry-wide cooperation in building open databases (for example, annotated images of workers in various scenarios) to advance AI research, as no single contractor may have enough diverse data on their own [8,17].

Technology Limitations in Dynamic Environments: Construction sites are unstructured and constantly changing, which poses problems for AI systems. Computer vision algorithms, for example, can be confounded by occlusion (objects blocking view of a worker or hazard) – a common occurrence on crowded sites [7]. A worker might be hidden behind machinery or scaffolding, causing the AI to miss a safety violation. Lighting changes (bright sun vs. shadows) and weather (rain, fog) can also affect sensor reliability. The dynamic nature means that any fixed rule or model can quickly become outdated; models might need frequent recalibration as the site progresses. Robotics face challenges in navigating unpredictable terrain and avoiding moving workers or equipment – requiring very robust sensing and control to prevent new accidents. Additionally, false positives and negatives in AI detections can erode trust: if a vision system raises too many false alarms (e.g., incorrectly flagging safe behavior as unsafe), workers and supervisors may start to ignore it. Conversely, false negatives (missing a real hazard) could give a false sense of security. Balancing sensitivity and specificity of these systems is tricky and often site-specific. As one review pointed out, issues like semantic understanding (the context of an object's significance) are still weak in current AI – a model might detect a person and an edge but not realize the person is at risk of falling off that edge due to lack of guardrail [7]. Achieving human-like contextual understanding remains a frontier, limiting AI's comprehensiveness in hazard identification.

Integration with Construction Processes: Implementing AI solutions on a jobsite isn't just a plug-and-play exercise; it requires integrating into existing workflows and systems. This can be challenging given that many construction companies have relatively low digitization and still rely on manual processes. For example, getting a computer vision system running means ensuring there's power and network at the site cameras, deciding who monitors the AI alerts (does a safety officer sit in front of a screen all day, or do alerts go to a phone?), and having procedures for responding to an AI-detected hazard. If those procedures are not clear, the value of detection is lost. Predictive analytics outputs must be fed into project risk review meetings or safety plans to actually drive actions. In some cases, contractors reported initial confusion about how to interpret and use AI safety scores – e.g., "Project X has a risk score of 7/10 this week" needed translation into concrete measures on site. Thus, change management is a big piece: companies need to train staff on new tools and perhaps dedicate personnel (like a data analyst or "AI safety champion") to ensure the technology is leveraged. Smaller firms may lack the resources or expertise to do this, which could widen a safety technology gap between large and small contractors if not addressed [17].

Workforce Acceptance and Cultural Hurdles: Construction crews may be skeptical or resistant to AI interventions, especially if they feel it's a form of surveillance or a threat to their jobs. Some workers may express concern that AI cameras or wearables are "spying" on them and could be used to punish them for minor infractions or to reduce the need for supervisors (job displacement). Building trust is essential: workers need to understand that the purpose of AI monitoring is preventive (safety), not punitive. In successful implementations, companies often emphasized transparency – explaining what data is collected and how it will (and won't) be used. They also involved worker

representatives in selecting and trialing the technology. Still, there can be a learning curve and a period of discomfort. For instance, if a vest vibrates to warn a worker, their instinct might initially be to ignore it or even remove the device if it buzzes frequently. It takes time for the workforce to adapt behavior in response to AI cues. Additionally, safety is a very human-centric field; some veteran safety managers might distrust an algorithm's recommendations over their own experience. Overcoming the "not invented here" or "I trust my gut" mentality requires demonstrating the AI's value – e.g., by showing cases where it caught something they missed. Culturally, construction has lagged in technology adoption, and AI can seem like a leap into unfamiliar territory. Champions at leadership levels are often needed to push through this inertia and encourage a mindset open to data-driven approaches [17].

Privacy and Ethical Concerns: The use of AI, especially vision and tracking, raises privacy issues. In regions with strong data protection laws (like Europe's GDPR), continuously filming workers or tracking their movements can be legally sensitive. Companies must ensure they comply with regulations, perhaps anonymizing data or avoiding certain biometric data capture. There are also ethical questions about autonomy and decision-making – for example, if an AI system orders equipment to shut down because it thinks a person is too close, is it always correct, and who is responsible if it malfunctions? Similarly, if a predictive model wrongly labels a jobsite "high risk," could that unfairly tarnish a project team's reputation? These are new dilemmas that organizations are grappling with. Responsible AI deployment calls for clear governance policies, like stipulating that AI predictions will never be used to blame individuals for an incident, or that any disciplinary action cannot be based solely on AI evidence without human verification. Such frameworks are largely still in development in the construction industry.

Technical and Maintenance Challenges: On-site hardware (cameras, sensors, networks) must be maintained. Construction sites are rough environments for technologies – cameras can get dirty or damaged, sensors can be knocked out, and wireless signals can be spotty in dense concrete structures. Keeping an AI system running reliably may require IT personnel or service contracts, which add cost and complexity. Software models may need periodic re-training, especially if the nature of work changes (e.g., an AI trained during structural work might not perform well during finishing works). Without ongoing support, AI tools can degrade in performance over time. Many contractors have found that partnering with technology providers for continuous support is necessary, but smaller projects may not afford that. There's also the challenge of interoperability: integrating AI platforms with existing project management or safety reporting software can be non-trivial, sometimes leading to siloed systems and double data entry if not addressed.

Cost and Return on Investment (ROI): The upfront cost of implementing AI systems – equipment, software licenses, training – can be a barrier. While prices are coming down, convincing project owners or company management to invest in AI safety technology often requires demonstrating ROI. However, calculating ROI for accidents avoided (i.e., the cost of something that didn't happen) can be abstract. Larger firms with self-insurance can quantify savings from lowered incident rates, but many firms still see safety technologies as an added expense rather than an investment. Wider adoption may hinge on more case studies showing clear economic benefits (for instance, reduced insurance premiums or avoidance of costly delays). Moreover, on projects with tight margins, any added expense, however beneficial, faces scrutiny. This challenge may gradually diminish as technology gets cheaper and as safety expectations evolve (possibly even becoming a contractual requirement), but it remains a concern, especially for smaller contractors.

Regulatory and Liability Uncertainties: The regulatory framework has yet to catch up with AI on sites. Questions arise such as: If an AI fails to detect a hazard and an accident occurs, could there be liability on the technology provider or on the contractor for relying on it? Conversely, if AI identifies a hazard and it's ignored, how does that factor legally? Currently, safety regulations generally don't mandate AI use, but if AI becomes proven to significantly reduce risk, could not using it be seen as negligence in the future? These are evolving considerations. Some companies are wary of relying too much on AI for fear of creating a new kind of liability – they treat it as advisory, not as an official safety control measure. Clear standards and guidelines from industry bodies can help clarify these issues over time.

In summary, the journey to fully realize AI's benefits in construction safety is not without obstacles. The technical challenges (like data and accuracy), organizational challenges (integration, training, acceptance), and ethical/legal challenges form a multi-faceted barrier. However, none of these are insurmountable. Strategies to address them are emerging: better data strategies (e.g., sharing consortia, synthetic data generation to supplement training), improved human-AI interface design to reduce false alarms and gain trust, worker engagement programs to foster acceptance, and frameworks for responsible AI use in safety. Researchers have highlighted the need for "integrated, multi-faceted AI systems" that combine different AI approaches to compensate for each other's blind spots [7,17]. For instance, coupling vision with IoT sensors to mitigate occlusion issues, or combining human expert oversight with AI predictions to ensure balanced decisions. Such integration itself is a challenge but a promising direction to overcome individual limitations.

Finally, it is instructive to keep perspective: AI is a tool to assist, not replace, the fundamental safety management processes. Many challenges are eased when AI is deployed in partnership with people. A common lesson from early adopters is that maintaining a strong conventional safety program (training, supervision, worker involvement) is still vital; AI augments these efforts but doesn't eliminate the need for them. When implemented thoughtfully, with awareness of its limitations, AI can significantly improve safety while humans maintain ultimate control and responsibility.

Future Directions and Emerging Trends

The intersection of AI and construction safety is a fast-evolving landscape. As technology advances and the industry gains more experience with implementation, several future directions are emerging that could further enhance safety outcomes. This section discusses prospective developments, from technical improvements on the horizon to broader changes in how AI-driven safety might be integrated into construction projects and policy worldwide.

Advancements in AI Capabilities: We can expect AI algorithms themselves to become more powerful, accurate, and context-aware in the coming years, directly addressing some current technical limitations. For instance, next-generation computer vision models are likely to achieve better understanding of complex scenes – moving from simply detecting objects to interpreting entire situations (e.g., recognizing not just that a worker is on a scaffold, but whether the scaffold assembly is unsafe or whether the worker's behavior is risky). Research into multimodal AI (combining vision, audio, and other sensor inputs) could give safety monitoring systems a more human-like perception. An AI that can simultaneously “see” and “hear” a construction scene might detect danger that a single mode would miss – such as noticing an unusual machine sound that indicates a malfunction while also observing workers nearby and predicting an incident. The review by Rabbi & Jeelani (2024) specifically highlighted the untapped potential of audio-based AI in construction safety [7]. We may soon have AI that listens for distress calls, breaking material, or sirens and integrates that information with visual data for more robust hazard detection.

Large Language Models (LLMs) and Knowledge Systems: The rise of LLMs like GPT-5 offers intriguing possibilities for safety management. Future safety systems might include intelligent assistants that can digest and reason over vast amounts of safety documentation, regulations, and past incident data. Imagine a “Safety Chatbot” on site that supervisors can query in natural language for guidance: “What precautions should we take for this lift?” and it can instantly answer based on manufacturer guidelines, past lessons learned, and applicable regulations. Early work on using GPT-3.5 for classifying and summarizing accident reports hints at how LLMs could become integral in turning data into actionable knowledge on site [5,18]. Additionally, LLMs could help in training and education – generating realistic safety scenarios or quizzes for workers, translating safety materials into multiple languages for diverse workforces, or even serving as real-time translators for safety communications on multinational sites.

Integrated “Digital Twins” for Safety: A major future trend in construction is the concept of the Digital Twin, a real-time digital replica of the physical site. As IoT sensors and BIM (Building Information Modeling) are increasingly used, digital twins can incorporate live data about a project. The safety application is that hazards can be simulated and predicted within the twin before they manifest on the real site. For example, a digital twin might continuously simulate the structural stability of temporary works or calculate risk indices for ongoing operations (like lifting or trenching) and flag concerns. AI plays a key role in this by analyzing twin data and performing predictive risk modeling. A digital twin could test “what-if” scenarios – e.g., what if a support fails at this stage, who or what would be impacted? – and thus guide preventive measures. The EU's BIM2TWIN project, for instance, is exploring a “Digital Twin for Construction Safety” concept that integrates AI to predict failures and guide emergency response planning [18]. In the future, project teams might routinely use an AI-driven digital twin dashboard where all safety-relevant information coalesces: real-time locations of workers, equipment status, upcoming high-risk activities, and so on, with AI continuously assessing where the next accident could happen if no action is taken. This aligns with a holistic approach where safety is embedded in the project's digital backbone from design through construction.

Enhanced Robotics and Automation: Looking ahead, construction robotics will likely become more sophisticated and autonomous, further improving safety. Humanoid robots or agile robotic systems could take on tasks that currently still require human intervention in dangerous situations (for example, emergency response robots that can enter collapsed structures to search for survivors, reducing rescuer risk). Collaborative robots (cobots) that can work alongside humans safely (equipped with advanced sensors to avoid contact injuries) might become common on sites, assisting workers in heavy lifting or repetitive tasks while AI coordinates the human-robot teamwork. As these robots proliferate, one foreseeable direction is that safety roles might shift – instead of manually doing a dangerous task, a worker might supervise multiple robotic machines from a safe control room (sometimes termed the “human-on-the-loop” approach). Exoskeleton technology is expected to improve in comfort and capability, possibly becoming standard PPE for certain

trades to prevent overexertion injuries. Furthermore, autonomous construction equipment will likely integrate more AI for obstacle detection and situation awareness – imagine a future construction vehicle that not only stops when a person is detected, but intelligently reroutes or reschedules its task if too many people are in the vicinity, essentially self-planning to maintain safe distances.

Standardization and Best Practices: As AI tools for safety mature, we can anticipate the development of industry standards and guidelines governing their use. Professional bodies and regulators may issue recommendations or even requirements for certain AI safety measures. For example, regulators might encourage the use of AI monitoring for high-risk projects (perhaps offering insurance incentives or credits for doing so), once effectiveness is well documented. Standardized metrics for AI safety performance could emerge – such as benchmarks for PPE detection accuracy or incident prediction reliability – helping owners and contractors evaluate solutions on the market. Additionally, best practice frameworks for ethical deployment are likely; these would cover how to handle data privacy, how to ensure human oversight of AI decisions, and how to systematically validate an AI system's performance on a project before fully relying on it. Certification programs might arise for “AI-ready” safety managers or for technology providers. Overall, institutionalizing AI in safety via standards will make adoption more plug-and-play and less ad hoc [17].

Global Dissemination and Equity: In the future, a key goal will be to ensure that AI's safety benefits are realized not just in advanced economies or large projects, but globally, including in developing regions and smaller sites. International collaboration can play a part. Organizations like the International Labor Organization (ILO) or global construction associations might facilitate technology transfer, pilot projects, or subsidized programs to bring proven AI safety tools to where they are needed most. There is also the prospect of open-source safety AI: academics and companies could release pre-trained models for common tasks (like a hardhat detection model that any company can use with their camera feeds). If successful, this could democratize access, much like how open-source software transformed the technology world. Cloud computing and edge devices will be important here – smaller contractors might not set up their own AI infrastructure, but they could use cloud-based safety analytics services or buy affordable smart cameras with built-in AI that work out-of-the-box. The trend of “AI as a service” might extend to safety, where companies subscribe to an AI platform that handles all the heavy lifting (data processing, updates) and they just receive insights and alerts on their devices.

Augmented Reality (AR) and Wearable Tech for Safety: Another promising direction is the use of augmented reality in safety. AR glasses or headsets could overlay safety information onto a worker's field of view. For example, through AR, a worker might see highlighted danger zones on the site (as virtual red barriers) or get a warning arrow if a moving crane load is approaching behind them. AI would provide the object recognition and tracking to make these AR cues contextually relevant and timely. This could fundamentally change how safety awareness is experienced – a more immersive, intuitive alert system than beeping alarms or text messages. Some experimental systems already allow viewing a construction site through a tablet with AR to identify where underground utilities or hazards are – extending that to live hazard visualization is a logical next step.

Predictive Safety Maintenance and Smart Equipment: AI might also drive a proactive approach to equipment and infrastructure safety on sites. Predictive maintenance algorithms can anticipate equipment failures (for cranes, power tools, etc.) and schedule repairs before something breaks dangerously. We might see “smart scaffolding” and formwork with embedded sensors that an AI monitors to warn of overloading or instability. If formwork starts vibrating in an unusual pattern or scaffold connections loosen, the system could alert crews to reinforce it. Essentially, the structures themselves may get a layer of AI oversight.

Safety Training and Simulation with AI: Virtual reality (VR) training for construction safety is already used to simulate hazardous scenarios without real danger. AI can enhance these simulations by making them more realistic and adaptive. For example, AI-driven characters (simulated workers or equipment) in a VR training scenario could behave unpredictably (within realistic parameters), forcing trainees to respond to dynamic conditions. This can improve preparation for real-life variability on sites. AI can also personalize training – analyzing a trainee's performance and customizing scenarios to address their weak points, thus accelerating learning and retention of safety practices.

In essence, the future of AI in construction safety points towards more intelligence, integration, and intuitiveness. AI will become more context-aware and predictive, combining multiple data sources to provide a fuller picture of risk. These systems will likely integrate seamlessly with day-to-day construction management – rather than being standalone “safety tools,” they'll be part of the fabric of how projects are run (for example, an AI safety check could become a standard step in planning any high-risk activity, just like getting a permit to work). The human role will evolve to working alongside AI: safety professionals might spend more time interpreting AI findings, handling exceptions, and

focusing on the complex problem-solving and empathetic aspects of safety (like coaching workers), while AI handles routine monitoring and analysis.

If current trends continue, we can be optimistic that construction sites a decade from now will be far safer than today. Workers will be protected by a combination of smart gear, vigilant AI guardians, and robots that do the heavy dangerous work – fulfilling the longstanding safety vision of the “3 E’s” (Engineering, Enforcement, Education) with a hefty new assist from a fourth E, Electronics (technology). However, realizing this future will require overcoming present challenges, investing in innovation, and ensuring that these advancements are scaled responsibly across the global industry.

2. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence is poised to play a transformative role in improving construction site safety, complementing and enhancing traditional safety management efforts. This comprehensive review has illustrated how a broad spectrum of AI technologies – from computer vision and robotics to predictive analytics and natural language processing – are being applied to mitigate risks inherent in construction work. The evidence from both research and real-world implementations is compelling: AI systems can detect hazards that humans might overlook, predict potential accidents by discerning subtle patterns in data, enforce safety rules consistently through automation, and distill actionable insights from vast repositories of safety information. In quantitative terms, studies and pilot projects have documented meaningful gains, such as computer vision models achieving over 90% accuracy in identifying PPE compliance [9], predictive algorithms potentially averting dozens of incidents and saving millions of dollars [6], and robots measurably reducing injury rates by taking humans out of harm’s way [12]. These improvements translate not only into lives saved and injuries prevented, but also into economic benefits via reduced downtime and lower incident-related costs.

Equally important is the qualitative impact – AI can enhance real-time situational awareness and foster a more proactive safety culture. Workers equipped with smart safety devices and supported by AI alerts gain additional “senses” that help them stay out of danger, while managers empowered with predictive dashboards and text-mined lessons can make more informed decisions to keep their teams safe. AI, in essence, extends the reach and efficacy of safety professionals, allowing them to cover more ground and react faster than would otherwise be humanly possible.

However, the integration of AI into construction safety is not a silver bullet and must be approached thoughtfully. The challenges identified – data limitations, implementation costs, worker acceptance, and technical shortcomings – remind us that AI is a tool to be thoughtfully integrated into the complex socio-technical system that is a construction project. To maximize AI’s benefits, companies must invest in data infrastructure, training, and change management. They must also establish safeguards to ensure AI recommendations are vetted and that responsibility for safety remains clearly with competent human supervisors and organizations. Human oversight, ethical use, and adherence to safety fundamentals remain paramount. AI should augment, not replace, human judgment and regulatory compliance. For example, an AI might detect an unprotected edge, but it is ultimately the project team’s duty to install guardrails and enforce fall protection training.

Notably, the global perspective underscores that while technological solutions can be universal, their implementation may need to be tailored to local conditions and regulations. Developed nations might focus on refining high-tech solutions and addressing privacy concerns, whereas developing regions might prioritize affordable, scalable technologies (perhaps via open-source AI and mobile devices) to tackle basic safety issues. International cooperation in sharing best practices and even datasets (with appropriate privacy measures) could accelerate progress for all.

In the coming years, we expect to see AI safety tools move from pilot projects to mainstream practice. It is conceivable that AI-driven safety monitoring will become as common as hardhats on construction sites. Clients and regulators might even start to require certain AI safety measures for high-risk projects, much as they require certified safety personnel or plans today. Early adopters have demonstrated that AI can be implemented without disrupting workflows and with tangible results, which will encourage wider adoption. Additionally, as more success stories emerge, the cultural barriers will likely diminish.

The future directions explored indicate that the role of AI in construction safety will continue to expand. We may see safety management evolve into a highly data-driven discipline, where predictive analytics and real-time monitoring preempt incidents to an extent previously unimaginable. The concept of “zero accidents” might become more attainable as AI helps eliminate many of the human errors and lapses that lead to accidents. That said, construction by nature involves uncertainty and complexity, so it is unlikely accidents will ever be completely eradicated. But if AI can help the

industry even cut accident rates in half globally, that would mean thousands of lives saved every year and countless injuries avoided – a humanitarian achievement of great significance.

In closing, the marriage of artificial intelligence and construction safety exemplifies the positive potential of technology when applied to human challenges. It leverages cutting-edge innovation not just for productivity or profit, but for protecting workers' health and lives – a fundamental ethical imperative in construction and any industry. The key takeaway from this extensive review is that AI, when deployed responsibly, is a powerful enabler for safer construction sites. It allows for earlier hazard recognition, more effective risk control, and continuous learning and improvement of safety practices. As the construction sector continues to modernize and adopt Industry 4.0 principles, safety must remain at the forefront of that transformation. AI offers a path to smart, not just fast, construction – one where progress in building the world does not come at the cost of worker well-being. By embracing AI alongside a steadfast commitment to safety principles, the construction industry can move closer to the vision of every worker ending their day unhurt and healthy. The tools are coming into place; it will be up to practitioners, researchers, and policymakers to use them wisely and collaboratively to realize this vision on a global scale.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] International Labor Organization (ILO). Occupational Safety and Health Management in the Construction Sector. ILO Report, 2005.
- [2] Global Infrastructure Hub. "AI and Sensors for Safe Construction." GI Hub Case Study, 2021.
- [3] Takala J., Hamalainen P., Sauni R. Nygard C., Gagliardi D. and Neupane S. (2024). Global, Regional and Country Level Estimates of the Work Related Burden of Diseases and Accidents in 2019. *Scandinavian Journal of Work Environment & Health*. 2024 Feb 28;50(2):73–82.
- [4] Yeniale E. and Borku W. (2022). Investigating The Causes of Accident in Construction Site Inwolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of University of Shanghai for Science and Technology*. Volume 24, Issue 1, 2022. Pages 367-375.
- [5] Smetana, M., et al. "Highway Construction Safety Analysis Using Large Language Models." *Applied Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 4, 2024, article 1352.
- [6] Brown K. (2019). Top Contractors Partner with AI Firm to Prevent Job Site Accidents Before They Happen. (<https://www.constructiondive.com/news/top-contractors-partner-with-ai-firm-to-prevent-jobsite-accidents-before-th/550322/>).
- [7] Rabbi, A.B.K., and Jeelani, I. "AI Integration in Construction Safety: Current State, Challenges, and Future Opportunities in Text, Vision, and Audio Based Applications." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 164, 2024, p. 105443.
- [8] Vukicevic, A.M., et al. "A systematic Review of Computer Vision-Based PPE Compliance in Industry: Advancements, Challenges, Future Directions." *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 57, 2024, article 319.
- [9] Seunghyeon Wang. Automated non-PPE Detection on Construction Sites Using YOLOv10 and Transformer Architectures for Surveillance and Body Worn Cameras with Benchmark Datasets. *Sci Rep* 15, 27043 (2025).
- [10] Esmaeili B. and Hollowell M. (2012). Diffusion of Safety Innovations in the Construction Industry. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Volume 138, Issue 8.
- [11] PlanRadar Blog – Graham, J. "Constructing a Safer Future: How Construction Robotics Can Improve Site Safety." Oct. 4, 2023.
- [12] Selko, A. "Industrial Robots Can Reduce Injuries." *EHS Today*, Mar. 21, 2024.
- [13] Shen, T.; Nagai, Y.; Gao, C. Design of Building Construction Safety Prediction Model Based on Optimized BP Neural Network Algorithm. *Soft Comput*. 2019, 24, 7839–7850.

- [14] Smetana M., de Salles L., Sukharev I. and Khazanovich L. (2024). Highway Construction Safety Analysis Using Large Language Models. *Applied Sciences*. Volume 14, issue4:1352.
- [15] Wu, Z. and Ma, G. (2004). NLP-Based Approach for Automated Safety Requirements Information Retrieval from Project Documents. *Expert Systems with Applications*. Volume 239, 1 April 2024, 122401.
- [16] Heriot Watt University. Revolutionising Global Construction Through AI-Driven Safety Innovation. (<https://www.hw.ac.uk/research-enterprise/innovation/innovation-case-studies/revolutionising-global-construction-through-ai>).
- [17] Al-Thubaiti, M. and Al-Shahrani, S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Role in Transforming Project Management. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*, 2025, 16(01), 180-193.
- [18] Teizer, J., Johansen K and Schultz C. (2022). The Concept of Digital Twin for Construction Safety. *Construction Research Congress 2022*.